Reliable software rebuilding

Alan Grosskurth

Software Architecture Group (SWAG)

School of Computer Science

University of Waterloo

agrossku@uwaterloo.ca

2006.04.10

University of Toronto (2000–2004)

- Courses in compilers, OS, formal methods, AI, machine learning
- NSERC scholarship: Ontario Cancer Institute (2002, 2003)
- DNA microarray image analysis software—lives on GNU Savannah



Grad school

```
% phd.m
×
% author: Cecilia
% date: 09/08/05
load THESIS_TOPIC
while (funding==true)
   data = run_experiment(THESIS_TOPIC);
   GOOD_ENOUGH = query(advisor);
   if (data > GOOD_ENOUGH)
        graduate();
       break
   else
        THESIS_TOPIC = new();
       years_in_gradschool += 1;
   end
end
```



www.phdcomics.com

University of Waterloo (2004–)

- Courses in text databases, generative programming, software evolution, software architecture
- Studied architecture of Mozilla (2.5 mLOC)
- Published paper at ICSM 2005: A reference architecture for web browsers
- Focued interest on build issues

Problem: Building large systems correctly

- Single OS, single language, minimal config options isn't too bad
- Gets difficult when you add more platforms, languages, configuration options
- Mozilla: 4-5 languages, 7-8 OS, 130 config options
- War story: I've been told by someone who spent some time at Sun that there was a period of time (a few months) during which they couldn't build Solaris :)

Harder problem: Correct rebuilds

- Small changes shouldn't mean long build times
- Slow turnaround, wasted productivity
- Nobody really trusts the correctness rebuilds—tinderbox, buildbot, etc. typically perform clean builds
- Compiler caches (ccache) help

Typical approach

- Environment configuration
- Build complete dependency tree
- Expand macros, etc.
- Recurively build targets top-down

Problem: "implicit dependencies"

- Engineers don't want to have to explicitly state which headers each object file depends on
- "scanners" can scan source files and output included headers
- Typically run before starting the build
- Problems with generated headers (perhaps created using other targets)
- Due to conditional compilation, it's most reliable to use the compiler to figure this information—depends on CFLAGS

Problem: configuration information has dependencies

- One solution: hard-code the order of checks
- Cache previous results
- Slow to re-run configure if you just want to change one option

A different approach: redo

- Conceived by D. J. Bernstein at UIC
- One dependency tree that is constructed dynamically
- "builders", "configure tests" are nodes like everything else
- Avoid big up-front cost if you only want to rebuild a small part
- Dependencies are *embedded* in the construction commands
- Keeps state, uses suffix matching
- I'm writing a prototype in Bourne shell script

Basic redo example

bar.do might say:

redo-ifchange foo tr x y < foo

Analagous to:

```
bar: foo
tr x y < foo > bar
```

But as safe as:

bar: foo
 tr x y < foo > bar---redoing
 fsync bar---redoing

mv bar---redoing bar

How redo works: targets and sources

- When asked to create a file it hasn't heard of before, presume the file is a source if it exists, target otherwise
- For latter, immediately save decision to disk so that subsequent creation of target doesn't change decision

default.o.do might say:

redo-ifchange compile "\$2.c" "\$2.o.deps"
redo-ifchange `cat "\$2.o.deps"`
./compile "\$2.c" "\$3"

How redo works: prerequisites

- After building a target, save prerequisites in .redo
- Next run looks at . redo and can quickly figure out whether target is up to date
- For latter, immediately save decision to disk so that subsequent creation of target doesn't change decision

compile.do might say:

```
redo-ifchange warn-auto.sh conf-cc
cat warn-auto.sh
echo exec "`head -1 conf-cc`" \
    '-c "$1" -0 "$2"'
chmod 755 $3
```

And conf-cc might say:

gcc -g -O2 -Isrc

default.deps.do might say:

redo-ifchange ccdepfind "\$2.c"
./ccdepfind "\$2.c"

And ccdepfind.do might say:

redo-ifchange warn-auto.sh conf-cc
cat warn-auto.sh
echo exec "`head -1 conf-cc`" \
 '-MM "\$1" | cut -d" " -f2-'
chmod 755 \$3

compile is generated as:

#!/bin/sh
WARNING: This file was auto-generated.
exec gcc -g -02 -Isrc -c "\$1" -o "\$2"

ccdepfind is generated as:

Generated headers

uint64.h.do might say:

redo-ifchange choose compile load \
 tryulong64.c uint64.h1 uint64.h2
./choose clr tryulong64 uint64.h1 uint64.h2

Based on results of compiling, linking, and running tryulong, uint64.h becomes either uint64.h1 or uint64.h2 (typedef uint64 is either long long or just long)

Targets depend on nonexistent files

• Compile a program a program which has a line:

```
#include "vis.h"
```

- \bullet But you forget to create <code>vis.h</code> in the current directory
- The compiler uses /usr/include/vis.h instead
- If you create vis.h and rebuild, nothing happens.

Targets depend on nonexistent files

redo-ifchange vis.h

means current target should be rebuilt if an existing file vis h is modified (or removed)

```
redo-ifcreate vis.h
```

means current target should be rebuilt if a nonexistant file vis.h is created

- Useful for optional build parameters
- Used internally to find the right build script, e.g. file.o.do vs. default.o.do

Location of derived artifacts

- Also known as *Objdir* or *VPATH*
- May be possible without special support need from redo
- Choices:
 - Start in separate build directory, specify sources using *srcdir*
 - Start in source directory, specify targets using *targetdir*

Location of build scripts

- redo-ifchange looks for in the same directory as target for the build script target.do
- Useful to store build scripts in an different directory so they can be reused by different products
- REDO_SCRIPTS environment variable (planned)

Limitations

- Cycles aren't be detected until midway into the build
- Separate processes for redo-ifchange results in more overhead than separate threads
- Not clear how to allow creation of multiple targets from single build script
- Quoting can be tricky
- Bourne shell doesn't make Windows happy... Python?

Build system testing

- Build tool vs. build scripts
- redo-ifchange and redo-ifcreate can be tested individually
- Build scripts can be tested in a scaled-down sandbox

Conclusions

- Composable mechanisms can be used to trigger appropriate rebuilding when code and build scripts are changed
- Need to implement a build system for a large scale product (mLOC) to get practical data on ease of maintainability and scalability

Acknowledgements

• D. J. Bernstein for conceiving redo: http://cr.yp.to/redo.html

Questions?